

### Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent Variable: RT\_FIXED

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	84.371 <sup>a</sup>	5	16.874	9.171	.000
Intercept	505.451	1	505.451	274.699	.000
GENDER	30.195	1	30.195	16.410	.001
MANIP	41.212	2	20.606	11.199	.001
GENDER * MANIP	12.963	2	6.482	3.523	.051
Error	33.120	18	1.840		
Total	622.942	24			
Corrected Total	117.491	23			

a. R Squared = .718 (Adjusted R Squared = .640)

### Results

Reaction time was submitted to a 2-way between groups analysis of variance (ANOVA), gender (male, female) by provocation manipulation (low, medium, high). The ANOVA detected the main effects of gender,  $F(1,18)=16.41$ ,  $p<.001$  and provocation,  $F(2,18)=11.20$ ,  $p<.001$ . Moreover, the predicted gender-by-provocation interaction was marginally significant,  $F(2,18)=3.523$ ,  $p<.051$ . As seen in Table 1, men's reaction time generally increased across levels of provocation; women's reaction times also increased, but in a less dramatic--and not statistically significant--fashion.

Table 1. Reaction time as a function of gender and provocation

	Provocation		
	Control	Mild	Severe
Men	3.18 <sub>ab</sub> <i>2.03</i>	5.77 <sub>b</sub> <i>1.20</i>	8.19 <sub>c</sub> <i>.93</i>
Women	2.72 <sub>a</sub> <i>.56</i>	3.55 <sub>ab</sub> <i>1.98</i>	4.13 <sub>ab</sub> <i>2.29</i>

Note: Means not sharing a common subscript differ at  $p < .05$  by Newman-Keuls tests  
SDs in italics below respective means

Figure 1. Reaction time as a function of gender and provocation. (Means not sharing a common subscript differ at  $p < .05$  by Newman-Keuls tests)

