Researchers studying misfolded proteins have found prions in yeast and fungus; unlike those in mammals, they don’t seem to harm the host

In Yeast, Prions’ Killer Image Doesn’t Apply

Twenty years ago, a curious new agent emerged from obscurity to join the cast of biology’s villains. Dubbed prions, these infectious proteins have been fingered for causing an array of rare but horrific brain illnesses. They are suspected of triggering “mad cow disease,” for example, which is thought to have crossed the species barrier and killed more than 100 people in Europe.

Prions’ fearsome reputation is enhanced by mystery: Researchers have not been able to determine exactly how they do their dirty work. And although they are the prime suspect in several diseases, they haven’t been experimentally proven to be the sole cause of any. But researchers have identified one feature that all prions appear to share: a pernicious shape. Whereas many proteins bend into alternate forms and still function properly, the hallmark of human prion proteins is that they have morphed from a normal, harmless protein into a contortion that seems to turn them deadly. And having made that change, according to prion researchers, they become unrelenting bullies, forcing other proteins to adopt their misfolded shape.

This standard picture is shifting, however. A radical new line of inquiry is casting human prions not as prototypical evildoers but more like the rare bad guys in an extended family of eccentrics. Among the prions’ mild-mannered siblings and cousins, according to studies published since 1994, are certain prionlike agents in yeast and fungus. Like their malevolent kin, they appear to cause other proteins to adopt their shape, yet their hosts usually seem to suffer little or no harm. Some research even indicates that these prions might perform useful functions such as helping cells survive in tough environments or transmitting beneficial qualities from one generation of cells to the next. Although controversial, these ideas are gaining support. “We have to get out of the mindset of considering a prion as a disease [agent],” says Susan Liebman, a molecular geneticist at the University of Illinois, Chicago.

Even if the new prions do turn out to be benign, they might still be useful models for researchers studying human diseases. Increasingly, biomedical scientists are turning to colleagues in the yeast and fungus fields for help in understanding how proteins fold, misfold, and possibly trigger brain lesions. For example, Alzheimer’s disease and prions are both associated with distinctively arranged clusters of misfolded protein, called amyloids. In addition, a number of other neurodegenerative diseases, including Parkinson’s and Huntington’s diseases, are marked by comparable clumps of misfolded protein in the brain. The amyloids of Alzheimer’s and the protein clusters of Parkinson’s are not considered transmissible from one cell to another, but similar mechanisms might be behind all the protein misfolding in these structures.

However, prions do turn out to have a pernicious side. Unlike those in mammals, they don’t seem to harm the host....
dig to confirm Wickner’s theory and uncover additional prions. Biologists examined [URE3] and [PSI+] for similarities, which they hoped would guide them. One critical feature quickly emerged: Both yeast prions contained unusually long stretches of two amino acids, glutamine and asparagine. Some believe that these boost the chance that proteins will form certain flat structures, called β sheets, which likely enable protein-protein interactions.

Another link turned up in studies by a team including Liebman, Yury Chernoff, now a yeast prion expert at the Georgia Institute of Technology in Atlanta, and Susan Lindquist, a yeast geneticist then at the University of Chicago and now director of the Whitehead Institute at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. They found that at least one yeast prion, [PSI+], seemed to require the presence of a so-called heat shock protein, HSP104, to maintain its prion structure. Heat shock proteins are molecules that protect a cell from stress and guide protein folding. Since these researchers reported on HSP104 and [PSI+]’s relationship 7 years ago, Lindquist and others have found that heat shock proteins play a role in both formation and inhibition of additional yeast prions (see profile).

Metaphysical questions
The hunt is on for new prions, and labs are stalking them in different ways. One popular approach involves removing the rich glutamine-asparagine portion of a known prion protein—considered the “active” bit that permits conversion—and replacing it with a chunk of candidate protein that is suspiciously prionlike. Researchers can then test whether the revamped protein still contains a prion, another—a widespread phenomenon among certain fungi. This might help prevent the spread of infection, Saupe says, but it’s not clear why a prion would be selected for this role.

### PRIONS: A CAST OF CHARACTERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prion</th>
<th>Where it’s found</th>
<th>Function of prion</th>
<th>Kills organism?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[PrP]</td>
<td>Mammals</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Het-s]</td>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>Prevents fusion with another fungus</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[URE3]</td>
<td>Yeast</td>
<td>Regulates nitrogen metabolism</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[PSI+]</td>
<td>Yeast</td>
<td>Alters protein synthesis</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[NU+]</td>
<td>Yeast</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[RNQ+]</td>
<td>Yeast</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Also known as [PRN]*.

Connections. Yeast cells with prions develop amyloid fibrils, as in the brains of Alzheimer’s patients.

**What makes a murderer?**
As researchers such as Lindquist and Saupe struggle to outline the role prions might perform in yeast and fungi, they keep running into perhaps the most vexing question of all: Why don’t prions such as [URE3] and [Het-s] kill the way [PrP] does? That mystery is bringing yeast and fungi experts together with those who study [PrP]—still the only mammalian prion known—and certain diseases marked by amyloids and misfolded proteins.

Huntington’s disease is the target of one such collaboration. Michael Sherman, a biochemist at Boston University School of Medicine, saw yeast as a tool for investigating what makes the culprit protein, called huntingtin, toxic. Huntington damages yeast cells, and varying levels of toxicity can easily be measured in this system. To his surprise, the yeast prion [RNQ+] seemed to increase huntingtin’s ability to do damage. Sherman teamed up with Chernoff, the Georgia Tech yeast prion expert. The pair determined that converting [RNQ+] to its normal protein shape prevents huntingtin from aggregating and killing the yeast cells. This suggested that huntingtin alone isn’t sufficient to launch disease in yeast. And in the 10 June issue of *The Journal of Cell Biology*, the group hints that still-undiscovered prions or prionlike proteins in humans might also be critical to forking huntingtin to aggregate. “The question is whether there’s something similar in mammalian cells,” says Sherman. “There are probably many, many
other prion-type proteins ... but we don't know of them.”

Lindquist champions the view that prionlike proteins are common in mammals, including humans, but that they might not normally cause disease. “It depends entirely on the kinds of proteins they interact with,” she says. It’s also possible that some prions are intrinsically more prone to toxicity than others. Despite their potential for harm, Lindquist adds, prions likely extend benefits, too. “It’s a wonderful means for very stably transmitting information,” she says, referring to the prion’s ability to convert proteins in cells around it to the same form. “Once you set up certain states, having structures that tend to be self-perpetuating makes a lot of sense.” The logic is finding support in at least one provocative new line of inquiry.

Tantalizing evidence for benign prionlike proteins—they don’t match up to true prions—is coming from Nobelist Eric Kandel’s lab at Columbia University in New York City. Kandel and lab member Kausik Si are studying a common protein in neurons called CPEB, a section of which resembles parts of prions in yeast. Preliminary evidence suggests that the protein can self-perpetuate in mammalian brains, the pair reported at a National Academy of Sciences meeting in March. Although cautioning that the evidence is extremely preliminary, they speculate that it might play a role in storing information—in other words, in memory.

Lindquist argues that further study of prions—or self-perpetuating proteins, as she likes to call them—will help explain what makes at least one of them harmful. Hazardous and not, she and others believe, many more prions are out there, waiting to be revealed.

—JENNIFER COUZIN

**Brainstorming Their Way to An Imaging Revolution**

In June, a handpicked team of researchers locked themselves away in an R&D hothouse to produce a new detector of elusive terahertz waves. Their prototype is already being tested.

**Oxford, U.K.**—Terahertz waves penetrate fog, peer through paper and clothes, and look into human tissue, but their useful properties are terra incognita to most because of the huge cost of existing sensors. Last week, however, a team of scientists from across Europe began testing an imaging chip that could open up this long-neglected part of the electromagnetic spectrum to new applications, from medical imaging to satellite observations of Earth. The device itself is intriguing enough, but equally novel is how it’s being developed.

The process began in November 1999, when a pair of physical scientists embarked on a breakneck effort to fabricate a new material that can completely block out terahertz waves. This radiation, in the nether region between infrared and radio waves, is hard to detect, but a so-called photonic bandgap material impervious to terahertz waves could revolutionize imaging devices, greatly improving their ability to peer through materials opaque to light of many other wavelengths.

Chris Mann of Rutherford Appleton Laboratory (RAL) near Oxford, U.K., and Ramón Gonzalo of the Public University of Navarra in Spain cloistered themselves away in RAL for a month to come up with the goods.

The duo succeeded, producing a prototype terahertz-blocking silicon material. Musing over their accomplishment in a Pamplona bar in May 2000, Mann, Gonzalo, and Peter de Maagt of the European Space Agency (ESA) agreed that this kind of forced, intense teamwork—a mini-Manhattan Project approach—might be just the ticket to take the next, more difficult, step in terahertz imaging. They hatched a plan that night to assemble a crack R&D team to design a terahertz imager that could be deployed in space and elsewhere.

Two years later, the Star Tiger project, funded by ESA, is yielding its first fruits. A team of 11 researchers from across Europe, under the leadership of de Maagt, Mann, and RAL colleague Geoff McBride, last week began putting a prototype terahertz imager through its paces at an RAL lab. The scientists still face big technical hurdles if they are to reach their goal: production of a much more sophisticated chip by the end of the project in October.

But they are zealous converts to the agency’s novel multidisciplinary team approach. “If you want to find something innovative, it’s the best way,” says Luisa Deias, an electronics engineer from Italy and the team’s sole female member. “This isn’t work;” adds British materials scientist James O’Neill. “We’re just having fun.”

The seed that germinated in the Spanish bar 2 years ago fell on fertile ground at ESA. Back at the agency’s technology research center in Noordwijk, the Netherlands, de Maagt mentioned the idea to his superiors. It quickly moved up the hierarchy, and in April 2001, ESA launched a feasibility study into building a terahertz imaging chip. Six months later, Mann and de Maagt got the go-ahead for a $650,000 project.

All objects emit terahertz waves, just as they emit infrared radiation, but terahertz waves are much harder to detect. Existing imaging devices were originally designed by the military to help land aircraft in fog, but they are complex, bulky, and expensive. Chip-sized detectors could be mass-produced and thus could open up new markets. A terahertz imager at an airport, for example, would be able to see through passengers’ clothes and reveal hidden weapons, which emit more terahertz waves than the human body does. Every airliner could have a detector in its nose cone, allowing the pilot, on a foggy night, to see the runway. And—the reason ESA got involved in the project—satellites could use them to look down at Earth through cloud cover or up at the stars at this little-studied wavelength.

To make a tiny chip-sized detector practical, you have to ensure that as many of the weak incoming waves as possible make it into the detector rather than leaking into the surroundings or into the chip material itself. The semiconductors that chip substrates are normally made of are a big impediment: